Mayor's Infrastructure Finance Committee: Cost Savings and Efficiency Work Group Process Overview - As of December 10, 2002

Charge to the Group

"The role of this work group is to consider ways to make certain that City infrastructure if planned, programmed, and constructed in the most reasonably efficient manner possible."

Group's Scope of Influence and Decision-Making Authority

- Advisory role: make recommendations to full Infrastructure Finance Committee; may undertake research to clarify recommendations
- Infrastructure Finance Committee makes final recommendations to Mayor and community???
- Formal decisions made by Mayor and City Council

Our Approach for Analyzing Information and Topics

- * By Infrastructure Category?: Water lines, sanitary sewer, stormwater, parks & trails, arterial roads?
- * By cross-cutting themes?: Soft costs, design standards and ordinance requirements, property and easement acquisition processes, implementation phasing, construction, environmental factors, enforcement, etc.?

Our Definitions

- * A successful outcome for this Group's process:
- * Efficiency for Infrastructure Projects:

Our Groundrules for the Process

- * Decision-making: Simple majority? Super-majority? Full consensus? Absent/abide? Voting Protocol: "no official action ... without a formal vote of record."
- * Full information: complete, transparent, accurate: "access group wisdom"
- * Suspended judgment until after in-depth dialogues and full information
- * Inclusive solutions: incorporate a multitude of viewpoints
- * Shared responsibility for discussions, for devising (and implementing?) solutions
- * More, as determined by group . . .

Creating the Framework

Oct. 29 meeting

Between meetings

Gathering Information

Nov. 5 & 12 meetings

Between meetings

Gathering Information

Nov. 19meeting

Between meetings

2) Group

members

about

think further

workshop,

professionals

Planning WG Process Nov. 26 meeting

Gathering Info.

Meeting Content & Outcomes

- * Introductions to each other
- * Charge to Group
- * Process structure, overview
- * Initial discussion of workshop options and other issues
- * Decision to gather list of ideas for infrastructure

1) Review, edit meeting summary 2) Staff prepares presentation materials.

Meeting Content & Outcomes

- * Presentation: Infrastructure Financing Gap: roads, water, wastewater
- * Ouestions & answers; general discussion
- * Consideration of workshop dates and format

1) Review. edit meeting summary 2) Group members submit ideas for cost savings and efficiencies

Meeting Content & Outcomes

- * Distribute, discuss ideas
- * Discussion of committee process
- * Discussion of workshop purpose and process
- * Next steps: List of potential professionals for workshop.

1) Review. * Presentation: 12-year edit meeting summary

- * Ouestions and answers.
- general conversation
- some of the specific items
- "regroup," develop ideas for next steps of Work Group's process.

Meeting Content &

- Outcomes
- Baseline Approach
- * Ideas List: Analysis of
- * Next Steps: review nd